Constraints on the late Ediacaran sulfur cycle from carbonate associated sulfate
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A B S T R A C T

We report new sulfur isotope compositions (δ34S) in carbonate associated sulfate (CAS) and pyrite from the lower Nama Group, Namibia (~550 to <547 Ma), and use these data to interrogate terminal Ediacaran sulfur cycle dynamics. Our extraction method utilizes an improved pre-leaching procedure that reduces the likelihood of contamination from matrix-bound sulfur. Data generated with the improved extraction method show CAS δ34S as much as 12‰ higher (34S-enriched) than previously reported which suggests a reevaluation of the phenomenon of ‘superheavy’ pyrite. The average δ34S of seawater sulfate increases from 30 to 38‰ in the lower Nama Group, and we correlate this rise in δ34S among contemporaneous marine basins. Global seawater sulfate δ34S >35‰ is highly unusual in Earth history, and in the terminal Ediacaran is best explained by a high pyrite burial flux. Pyrite in the Nama Group is close in isotopic composition to coeval sulfate, but the sulfur isotope fractionation between sulfate and pyrite varies widely among different studied basins, suggesting highly heterogeneous redox and depositional conditions.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The hypothesized oxygenation, or ventilation, of the oceans during the Neoproterozoic is of considerable interest because it coincides with the rise of complex animal life (Canfield et al., 2007; Knoll and Sperling, 2014; Runnegar, 1982; Towe, 1970). However, the precise timing and mechanism for this oxygenation, and any causal relationship with animal evolution, remains controversial (Butterfield, 2009; X. Chen et al., 2015; Och and Shields-Zhou, 2012; Sperling et al., 2015). Two of the key fluxes that control the concentration of sulfate in the ocean – riverine sulfate derived from pyrite oxidation, and microbial reduction of sulfate to sulfide – depend indirectly on oxygen concentrations in the atmosphere and oceans, respectively. Furthermore, pyrite burial represents an important source of oxygen to the atmosphere over long timescales (Berner, 1989). Therefore, the biogeochemical sulfur cycle may have been significantly impacted by Neoproterozoic oxygenation. Although higher sulfate concentrations might be expected to correlate with increased oxygen availability, the sulfate concentration of the Neoproterozoic ocean remains unresolved (Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Loyd et al., 2012; Moles et al., 2015).

The sulfur isotope composition of marine sulfate, δ34SSW, tracks changes in the sources and sinks within the biogeochemical sulfur cycle (Claypool et al., 1980). The δ34SSW has varied over Earth history as a result of changes in the riverine sulfate flux, the sulfur isotope composition of the riverine sulfate (δ34Sriv), the sulfur isotope composition of pyrite (δ34Spyr) and the amount of pyrite buried (Berner, 1989; Claypool et al., 1980; Garrels and Lerman, 1981). Of these various fluxes, the sulfur isotope composition of pyrite and the amount of pyrite buried remain both the largest lever on δ34SSW and the closest link to Earth’s surface redox state. Sulfate is respired by sulfate reducing microbes in anoxic environments, producing sulfide which is enriched in 32S by up to 47‰ or possibly more (Canfield et al., 2010; Leavitt et al., 2013; Sim et al., 2011a, 2011b; Wortmann et al., 2001). In the modern ocean the δ34SSW is globally homogeneous (21.1 ± 0.8‰ when measured via SF6; Johnston et al., 2014; Rees, 1978). δ34SSW is elevated over riverine input (which is between 3 and 8‰, Canfield, 2013) because of the burial of 32S-enriched pyrite. Modern marine sulfate has a concentration of 28 mM, and a residence time of 10–20 million years, which far exceeds the mixing time of the ocean (Paytan et al.,...
2004). At high sulfate concentrations, $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ should provide a globally integrated archive of the relative sources and sinks of sulfur to the global ocean. Marine sulfate concentrations, however, have likely varied widely through Earth history (Hardie, 1996). When the residence time of sulfate is reduced, the concentration and isotope composition of sulfate may vary within and among marine basins (Kah et al., 2004).

Reconstructing $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ through Earth history requires viable proxy minerals for marine sulfate. Barite (BaSO$_4$) produced in the open ocean provides a useful archive for the past 150 Ma (the age of the oldest deep sea sediment cores) (e.g. Markovic et al., 2015; Paytan et al., 1998). Barite from the Neoproterozoic, deposited in seafloor exhalative events, has also been used to reconstruct seawater sulfate (Moles et al., 2013; Shields et al., 2007). Calcium sulfate minerals in evaporitic deposits are another viable proxy reservoir (Claypool et al., 1980; Strauss, 1997; Thode and Monster, 1965), and preserve $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ with minimal sulfur isotope fractionation (up to $\pm$2.4‰, Raab and Spiro, 1991; Thode et al., 1961). However, evaporitic minerals commonly occur in restricted basins, can be subject to post-depositional fluid alteration, and suffer from poor chronological constraints (Bottrell and Newton, 2006). As the gypsum burial flux was limited during periods of low marine sulfate concentrations (Canfield, 2004), there is a dearth of evaporite deposits in deep time. Trace sulfate in phosphorites has also been shown to preserve coeval $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ under some circumstances (Hough et al., 2006; Shields et al., 1999, 2004), but phosphate deposits are rare on a global scale. Barite and primary common to detect $\delta^{34}$S$_{CAS}$ values are likely retained under most conditions (Gill et al., 2008; Kah et al., 2004; Lyons et al., 2004; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Staudt and Schoonen, 1995). Metastable carbonate minerals undergo recrystallization during diagenesis, and so may lose their associated sulfate, but original $\delta^{34}$S$_{CAS}$ values are likely retained under most conditions (Gill et al., 2008; Kah et al., 2004; Lyons et al., 2004; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Staudt and Schoonen, 1995). The rate and extent of early diagenetic alteration is in part controlled by the sedimentation rate, the recrystallisation rate of unstable carbonate minerals, as well as the relative concentration of sulfate in the pore fluid versus the concentration in the carbonate minerals (Present et al., 2015; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014).

Even if $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ is faithfully preserved in the carbonate record, there are concerns about the effective extraction of pristine marine phases and imparted contamination during cleaning and mineral extraction (Peng et al., 2014; Wotte et al., 2012). Carbonate samples selected for CAS-extraction may be pre-leached to remove matrix-bound contaminant sulfur, which may include secondary atmospheric sulfate (SAS), organic sulfur, or disseminated pyrite, and these generally have a lower $\delta^{34}$S. Of these various contaminants, one major concern is the oxidation of reduced sulfur phases such as pyrite, as many studies use bleaches such as NaOCl and H$_2$O$_2$, which can act as effective oxidants (Marenco et al., 2008a). It was recently suggested that SAS results in widespread contamination of $\delta^{34}$S$_{CAS}$, particularly in outcrop samples from arid or heavily polluted regions, as SAS forms a significant component of water leachable sulfates (Peng et al., 2014). Multiple pre-leaches in 10% NaCl solution are now suggested for effective cleaning (Theiling and Coleman, 2015; Wotte et al., 2012), but the pre-leaching procedure is not yet standardized. Pre-leaching that is less thorough may not eliminate contaminants before the acid-leaching stage, resulting in acid-leachable sulfate that does not represent primary $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$.

Measurements of $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ may provide more interpretative power when paired with coeval pyrite $\delta^{34}$S, which preserves the $\delta^{34}$S signature of the net reduced sulfur produced during microbial sulfate reduction. Sulfur isotope fractionation during microbial sulfur reduction, $\delta^{34}$s$_{micr}$, depends largely on the rate of microbial sulfate reduction, which is impacted by other environmental factors, including temperature, sulfate concentration, the microbial community and organic carbon source (Bradley et al., 2015; Canfield, 2001a; Canfield et al., 2010; Habicht and Canfield, 2001; Leavitt et al., 2013; Sim et al., 2011a, 2011b; Wortmann et al., 2001). Pyrite preserves the apparent sulfur isotope fractionation, $\Delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$-pyr, which may differ from $\delta^{34}$s$_{micr}$, and is controlled by sedimentary parameters including sedimentation rate, porosity, and the availability of reactive organic carbon and reactive iron oxides (Fike et al., 2015). Paired sulfate-pyrite sulfur isotope studies over the Proterozoic show step increases in $\Delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$-pyr, which have been interpreted to relate closely to changes in the oxidation state of Earth’s surface environment (Canfield, 1998; Fike et al., 2006). Existing $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ records from the Neoproterozoic hint at an interesting evolution of the biogeochemical sulfur cycle towards the Ediacaran – Cambrian boundary. A dramatic rise in $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ in the latest Ediacaran strata ($\sim$541 Ma) has been documented from evaporites and CAS (Claypool et al., 1980; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Holser, 1977; Houghton, 1980; Solomon et al., 1971; Strauss et al., 2001). This increase has been interpreted as mixing with deep brines enriched in $^{34}$S through microbial sulfate reduction (Holser, 1977), or a rise in the fractional pyrite burial flux accompanied by increased weathering inputs with elevated $\delta^{34}$S (Fike et al., 2006; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008). The comparatively discontinuous phosphorite record, however, does not show a similar increase in $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ (cf. Ediacaran data from Shields et al., 2004 and Cambrian data from Shields et al., 1999).

The Nama Group, Namibia is a well-preserved terminal Ediacaran mixed clastic and carbonate succession, deposited between $\sim$550 and 541 Ma (Grotzinger et al., 1995; Schmitz, 2012). Previous reports of $\delta^{34}$S$_{SW}$ from the Nama Group reveal an unexpected observation, whereby $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ is commonly higher than coeval $\delta^{34}$S$_{CAS}$ (Ries et al., 2009). This result does not fit within the accepted frameworks for interpreting $\delta^{34}$S, as pyrite typically exhibits lower $\delta^{34}$S compared with coeval sulfate. Sulfide oxidation produces oxidized sulfate that is depleted in $^{34}$S by 4–5‰ (abiotically – Fry et al., 1988), and <2‰ when microbially mediated (but see Kaplan and Rittenberg, 1964 for fractionations up to 18‰), which could in theory leave the residual sulfide pool ‘heavy’, but in most natural environments this signal would be overprinted by the larger sulfur isotope fractionation during microbial sulfate reduction (Canfield, 2001a).

Here, we report high-resolution paired CAS-pyrite sulfur isotope data for the Kuibis Subgroup ($\sim$550 to <547 Ma) of the Nama Group, from the Zebra River, near Maltahoe, Hardap, Namibia. By applying improved methodologies that minimise the chance of contamination during the cleaning and leaching of carbonate, we produce an improved $\delta^{34}$S$_{CAS}$ record for the Nama Group. The thorough elimination of contaminants allows us to verify the presence of any ‘superheavy’ pyrite. We make a detailed comparison between the record from the Nama Group with recent sulfate and pyrite records from contemporaneous basins (Cui et al., 2016; Fike et al., 2006; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008), to assess global trends in the sulfur cycle, marine sulfate concentrations and variability in local redox and depositional conditions.

2. Geological and geochemical setting

The Nama Group is a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic sequence deposited in a ramp system across two inter-connected subbasins, the Witputs in the south and the Zaris in the north, separated by the Osis Arch (Fig. 1; Germs, 1974). The Nama Group hosts terminal Ediacaran fauna, including soft-bodied fossils belonging
to the Ediacaran biota and the skeletal metazoans, *Cloudina*, *Namacalathus* and *Namapoikia* (Grant, 1990; Grotzinger et al., 2000; Hall et al., 2013; Macdonald et al., 2014; Penny et al., 2014, 2016; Wood et al., 2002). Trace fossils have been reported from the Omkyk member (Macdonald et al., 2014), consistent with the beginnings of infaunal bioturbation noted globally during this time (McIlroy and Logan, 1999). Iron speciation data suggest that the Nama Group showed a heterogeneous redox landscape in shallow waters, more persistent oxygenation in most mid-ramp settings, and persistently anoxic and ferruginous in outer ramp waters (Wood et al., 2015).

Our section of the Nama Group, Zebra River Farm, spans the Kuibis Subgroup in the Zaris Basin, and includes the Omkyk and Hoogland Members. Zebra River is a distal inner ramp section, hosting laterally extensive microbial reef systems, and associated skeletal fossils, <35 mm (Wood, 2011). Iron speciation data suggest that Zebra River was persistently well-oxygenated, with some restricted anoxic ferruginous periods (Wood et al., 2015). An ash bed in the lowermost Hoogland Member of the Kuibis Subgroup provides robust U-Pb zircon age constraints 548.8 ± 1 Ma (Grotzinger et al., 1995), revised to 547.36 ± 0.31 Ma by Bowring et al. (2007). The base of the Nama Group is diachronous and has not been well dated, but the age is probably between 548 and 553 Ma, younging towards the Osis Arch (Gems, 1974; Ries et al., 2009). Therefore, the Kuibis Subgroup spans 1–6 Myrs and extends to <547 Ma at the top of the Hoogland member. The inner ramp position of Zebra River means that it may be a relatively late (i.e. young) part of the lower Nama Group.

Previously published carbonate δ13C values from the Nama Group reach as low as -7.4‰ and are interpreted to capture the tail end of the Shuram-Wonoka anomaly (Kaufman et al., 1991; Wood et al., 2015), a large and enigmatic negative carbon isotope excursion recorded globally in Ediacaran carbonates (Burns and Matter, 1993; Calver, 2000; Corsetti and Kaufman, 2003; Lu et al., 2013; McFadden et al., 2008; Saylor et al., 1998). The onset of the carbon isotope excursion is poorly constrained but likely post-dates the Gaskiers glaciation at ~580 Ma (Macdonald et al., 2013). The Shuram anomaly had ended by 551 Ma in South China (Condon et al., 2005). Therefore, the duration of the Shuram event is unknown and could range from 5 to 50 Myrs (Le Guerroué, 2010). The recovery to positive δ13C is likely captured in the southern Witputs Basin (Wood et al., 2015). Elsewhere, the zenith of the negative carbon isotope excursion reaches ~12‰, below the δ13C of mantle carbon input, and so demands an exceptional explanation (Grotzinger et al., 2011).

It has been suggested that the Shuram carbon isotope anomaly results from oxidation of a large pool of reduced carbon supplying a substantial amount of 12C to the ocean–atmosphere system; this pool of reduced carbon has been suggested to be either methane hydrates (Bjerrum and Canfield, 2011) or dissolved organic carbon (Rothman et al., 2003). This oxidation would, in theory, require a large amount of oxidant, and as such, the δ13C recovery to positive values is interpreted to represent the consumption of the pool of reductant and thus would be expected to be followed by an oxygenation event (Bjerrum and Canfield, 2011; Fike et al., 2006; McFadden et al., 2008; Rothman et al., 2003). One criticism of these models is that they have not considered the massive electron imbalance necessary to sustain the carbon isotope imbalance observed globally for many millions of years, leading others to suggest that the carbon isotope excursion is driven by meteoric or late stage burial diagenesis (Derry, 2010; Knauth and Kennedy, 2009; Swart and Kennedy, 2012). However, these mechanisms are inherently local and cannot explain the globally correlative negative excursion, and recent work has demonstrated that the isotopic signature was present at the time of deposition (Husson et al., 2015). An alternative explanation is global increase in the burial of authigenic carbonate, but this still requires a connection between preserved δ13C and the global DIC reservoir (Schrag et al., 2013).

3. Methods

Carbonate samples spanning the Omkyk and Hoogland members of the Kuibis Subgroup were trimmed to remove weathered edges and visible veins, and then rinsed in deionised water. Carbonate rock was crushed and then powdered using an agate mill. Afterwards, samples were handpicked to ensure that all pyrite oxidation. Acid-leachable sulfate was collected as barite from CAS extraction. Filtrates were cleaned 4 times with deionised water between each leach. After each leach, no barite precipitate was observed after the third leach. Barite precipitate was weighed into tin pans 1–6 Myrs and extends to <547 Ma at the top of the Hoogland member. The inner ramp position of Zebra River means that it may be a relatively late (i.e. young) part of the lower Nama Group.

Immediately after the leach, the carbonate, once cleaned, was then dissolved in 6 M HCl for <20 min. Time exposed to the acid was limited to ensure minimal pyrite oxidation. Acid-leachable sulfate was collected as barite by adding a saturated BaCl2 solution. Filtrates were cleaned 4 times in ultrapure water and dried before analysis.

Acid volatile sulfur (AVS) and iron sulfide minerals, including pyrite, were chemically extracted using HCl and chromous chloride distillation, respectively, at the University of Leeds. The gas generated during distillation was bubbled through silver nitrate solution to precipitate Ag2S (Canfield et al., 1986; Wood et al., 2015). Where sufficient precipitate formed, both AVS and sulfide mineral fractions were retained for analysis. The barite from CAS extraction and silver sulfide from pyrite extraction were weighed into tin samples.
cups with an excess of vanadium pentoxide for sulfur isotope analysis at the University of Cambridge. Samples were combusted in a Flash EA coupled through continuous flow through a magnesium perchlorate trap and gas chromatograph before the gas was introduced to a Delta-Advantage mass spectrometer. $\delta^{34}$S is reported versus the Vienna Canvas Diablo Troilite (VCDT) standard. Isotopic barite standards (NBS 127) had an average standard deviation of 0.18‰ (1σ). $\delta^{34}$S values were corrected for machine drift using this bracketing standard NBS 127 (20.3‰).

The same samples were dissolved in 2% nitric acid, and analysed for major element concentrations (Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn and Sr) using an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) at the Cross–Faculty Elemental Analysis Facility, University College London (see supplementary data file). The concentration of CAS was measured in an aliquot of the filtered acid-leachates, prior to BaCl$_2$ addition, via ICP-OES. Wavelength 182.5 nm was selected to avoid interference with calcium ions, and analysis was conducted using the polyboost function.

**4. Results**

We present $\delta^{34}$SCAS from 51 carbonate samples spanning the Omkyk and Hoogland members of the Kuibis Subgroup from the Nama Group, Namibia. The average $\delta^{34}$SCAS for each member and the standard deviations around the mean are given in Table 1. The concentration of CAS and $S_{pyr}$ in these samples is low, averaging 68.7 ppm (range: 32.6–166.6 ppm) and 9.3 ppm (range: <2–49.7 ppm), respectively. There is a steady increase in average $\delta^{34}$SCAS throughout the section (Fig. 2). The lower Omkyk member averages 30.1 ± 5.1‰ (range: 21.9–39.3‰). The upper Omkyk member averages 32.3 ± 3.8‰ (range: 26.3–39.9‰). The lower Hoogland member averages 36.4 ± 4.5‰ (range: 27.2–41.4‰) and the upper Hoogland member averages 38.9 ± 4.1‰ (range: 29.7–45.4‰).

Sulfur isotope data for pre-leach solutions, measured on the first two leaches for three samples, show lower $\delta^{34}$SNaCl compared with coeval $\delta^{34}$SCAS (by as much as 15.8‰) (Table 2). This suggests that leaching in NaCl has removed contaminant sulfur, that if unremoved would lower the apparent $\delta^{34}$SCAS Value. The final three pre-leaches did not result in sufficient BaSO$_4$ precipitate for sulfur isotope analysis, suggesting contaminant sulfur was removed effectively within the first two pre-leaches. The analysed samples from Zebra River show evidence for high-quality preservation, including relatively high $\delta^{13}$C (98% of samples >–10‰), low Mn/Sr ratios (97% of samples <1), and high strontium concentrations (range 294–5802 ppm; with an average of 1509 ± 986 ppm; 40% of samples >1500 ppm, Wood et al., 2015).

There is no significant covariation between $\delta^{34}$SCAS and strontium concentrations, Mn/Sr ratios, total organic carbon or total iron (see Supplementary data file). We also find that there is no covariation between $\delta^{34}$SCAS and cerium or yttrium anomalies measured on the same samples (Tostevin et al., 2016).

We present $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ for 11 samples. Pyrite concentrations are low and chromium reduction for many carbonate samples did not produce sufficient pyrite for subsequent sulfur isotope analysis. In the lower Omkyk member, the average $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ is 36.3 ± 1.8‰ (n = 5), in the upper Omkyk member $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ averages 31.7 ± 2.2‰ (n = 3), and the Hoogland member $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ averages 40.4 ± 1.5‰ (n = 3). $\delta^{34}$S$_{pyr}$ measured on one AVS extraction produced one lower $\delta^{34}$S$_{NAIS}$ (26.7‰) value.

**5. Discussion**

Our $\delta^{34}$SCAS data come from well-described marine sections and have $\delta^{13}$C that can be correlated regionally and globally (Fike et al., 2006; Ries et al., 2008; Wood et al., 2015). Normal marine rare earth element patterns with superchondritic yttrium anomalies throughout the Nama Group further support the interpretation that this section was deposited under open marine conditions (Tostevin et al., 2016). $\delta^{34}$SCAS shows no correlation with various geochemical indicators of diagenesis, including the concentration of sulfate in the carbonate lattice, Mn/Sr or $\delta^{13}$O$_{CAS}$ (Fig. 3), indicating that late-stage diagenetic alteration by non-marine fluids was not the primary controls on $\delta^{34}$SCAS. However, these traditional parameters for evaluating diagenesis may not be sufficient to identify syndepositional or early diagenetic alteration in CAS.

We observe sample-to-sample fluctuations from 0.1 to 12.1‰ (average 4.6‰), which are too large to be explained by analytical error or fluctuations in the marine reservoir. Different components of complex carbonate rocks may be differently affected by diagenesis, and so some of the stratigraphic variability in $\delta^{34}$SCAS may result from facies changes and the leaching of different carbonate components (Present et al., 2015). However, our $\delta^{34}$SCAS do not appear to correlate with facies or lithology (Fig. 3). Early diagenetic overprinting, or the incorporation of sulfate into the carbonate lattice during burial and recrystallization, can increase $\delta^{34}$SCAS due to microbial sulfate reduction, which through Rayleigh fractionation enriches the pore fluid sulfate in the heavier $^{34}$S isotope. It is also possible for early diagenesis to decrease $\delta^{34}$SCAS if recrystallization or the precipitation of new carbonate minerals occurs close to the chemocline where oxidising fluids interact with sulfide.

Results from modern sediments show that diagenetic alteration of $\delta^{34}$SCAS is more extensive where high carbonate recrystallization rates intersect with the uppermost zone of sulfate reduction (Rennie and Turcyn, 2014). Pore fluid $\delta^{34}$S evolves to higher values throughout the zone of sulfate reduction while the rate of carbonate recrystallization decreases with age. At rapid sedimentation rates, carbonate recrystallization will occur deeper in the sediment pile, where pore water sulfate concentrations are low and therefore little isotopically altered sulfate will be incorporated into the carbonate lattice. At slow sedimentation rates, carbonate will undergo intense recrystallization before the onset of sulfate reduction and evolution of pore fluid $\delta^{34}$S so the sulfate incorporated into the carbonate lattice will be minimally evolved from seawater. $\delta^{34}$SCAS is most vulnerable to resetting at intermediate sedimentation rates, where recrystallization occurs in the

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$CAS (n = 5)</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$Pyrite (n = 5)</th>
<th>Pyrite compared with CAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Omkyk</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>30.1 ± 5.1‰</td>
<td>36.3 ± 1.8‰</td>
<td>Some ‘superheavy’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ries et al. (2009)</td>
<td>17.7 ± 3.6‰</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.7 ± 4.0‰</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Omkyk</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>32.5 ± 3.8‰ (n = 20)</td>
<td>31.7 ± 2.2‰ (n = 3)</td>
<td>Similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ries et al. (2009)</td>
<td>31.4 ± 5.9‰</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.9 ± 5.9‰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoogland</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>38.0 ± 4.3‰ (n = 20)</td>
<td>40.4 ± 1.5‰ (n = 3)</td>
<td>Some ‘superheavy’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ries et al. (2009)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 9.1‰</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.9 ± 12.7‰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
presence of sulfate rich, yet isotopically distilled pore fluids (Rennie and Turchyn, 2014). Under modern conditions, diagenesis is unlikely to result in increases in $\delta^{34}$SCAS greater than $4\permil$ (Rennie and Turchyn, 2014). However, in the Ediacaran, a number of conditions may have enabled greater alteration of $\delta^{34}$SCAS. CAS concentrations are exceptionally low in the Nama Group, both compared with modern and Neoproterozoic carbonate rocks from other sections (Gellatly and Lyons, 2005; Staudt and Schoonen, 1995; Strauss et al., 2001). This may be a reflection of the reduced contribution from non-CAS sulfate, due to improved cleaning procedures. Alternately, the low CAS concentrations may result from diagenetic loss, but may also indicate low initial CAS concentrations as a result of a small seawater sulfate reservoir (Berelson et al., 2008; Busenberg and Niel Plummer, 1985; Gill et al., 2008; Marenco et al., 2008b). These low concentrations of CAS could increase vulnerability to diagenetic overprinting. However, if sulfate concentrations were very low, this may have reduced the likelihood of large sulfur isotope fractionations associated with microbial sulfate reduction (Algeo et al., 2015; Habicht et al., 2002), and this would prevent pore water sulfate from evolving dramatically away from seawater values. However, we note that large fractionations are still possible at very low sulfate conditions (Canfield et al., 2010; Crowe et al., 2014; Knossow et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2016). Further, sulfate concentrations in pore waters beneath a low-sulfate-concentration water column would also be low, limiting the amount of pore fluid sulfate that could be incorporated during diagenetic recrystallization.

The Nama Group carbonates were likely originally aragonite or high Mg calcite, based on original skeletal mineralogies (Zhuravlev and Wood, 2008), modelling trends (Hardie, 2003) and fluid inclusion data (Brennan et al., 2004), and so will have undergone extensive recrystallization. Gill et al. (2008) showed that $\delta^{34}$SCAS in aragonitic coral heads remained unchanged during meteoric diagenesis and neomorphism to calcite. In contrast, dolomitisation may alter $\delta^{34}$SCAS by as much as $10\permil$ (Marenco et al., 2008b). More work is needed to fully assess any isotope fractionation during incorporation of sulfate into different carbonate polymorphs. The zone of sulfate reduction may have been shallower in sediments underlying low oxygen waters where there was no penetrative bioturbation. However, the accumulation rate of thrombolite-stromatolite carbonate reef systems may have been very rapid compared to modern carbonate platforms, while the sedimentation rate in the Nama Group overall appears to be exceptionally high at 50–300 mMyr$^{-1}$ based on a minimum and maximum age range. Taken together, these differences in Precambrian seawater chemistry and sedimentation patterns, mean that the upper limit for diagenetic alteration is unknown for the Nama Group, but could have differed significantly from the $4\permil$ limit based on a model derived for modern sediments (Rennie and Turchyn, 2014). We conclude that diagenetic alteration likely explains most of the large sample-to-sample fluctuations in $\delta^{34}$SCAS.

$\delta^{34}$Spyr reflects the sulfur isotope composition of hydrogen sulfide produced during microbial sulfate reduction, or other microbiomically mediated reactions and depends largely on local depositional conditions (e.g. sulfate concentration, iron availability, sulfate reduction rate and sedimentation rates) (Canfield, 

### Table 2

Sulfur isotope ratios, $\delta^{34}$S, of the first two sodium chloride leachates compared with CAS for three selected samples. Leaches 3–5 did not produce sufficient precipitate for isotopic analysis. Pre-leaches shows lower $\delta^{34}$S compared with final CAS value, consistent with previous method development work by Wotte et al. (2012) and Peng et al. (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S – Leach 1</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S – Leach 2</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S – CAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UH1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH14</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unlike $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$, which should be globally homogeneous, $\delta^{34}S_{pyr}$ shows a wide range of isotope compositions reflecting these local depositional conditions. Some pyrite in the Nama Group may have been transformed to iron oxides and aqueous sulfate during oxidative weathering (Wood et al., 2015). While this process may reduce pyrite concentrations, it should not impact the residual $\delta^{34}S_{pyr}$ (Balci et al., 2007).

$d^{34}S_{pyr}$ from bulk rock analyses may include late-stage sulfide minerals formed during migration of sulfide-bearing fluids through strata rich in reactive iron. Detailed petrographic work is needed to identify diagenetic pyrite, and we cannot rule out that the $d^{34}S_{pyr}$ data reported here have been affected by inclusion of late stage sulfide minerals.

5.2. Laboratory extraction of CAS

Organic sulfur, the products of oxidative pyrite weathering and secondary atmospheric sulfate (SAS) must all be removed before carbonates are dissolved with acid to extract CAS. Previous studies have suggested that the sulfur extracted during pre-leaching can be as much as 24‰ lower in $d^{34}S$ than primary CAS (Peng et al., 2014). Cleaning in water has been shown to be inadequate for removing this contaminant matrix-bound sulfur (Peng et al., 2014; Wotte et al., 2012). We have taken care to minimise the possibility of contamination during the extraction of CAS from our samples. We pre-leached five times in 10% NaCl solution under constant agitation, with three rinses in ultrapure water in between each NaCl leach. We used small amounts of powder (8–10 g) in enclosed vessels to minimise any possibility of contamination from within the laboratory. Prior studies on similar sections cleaned large amounts of powder (~300 g) once with Milli-Q water for 24 h before acid leaching, which was common in earlier CAS studies (e.g. Fike et al., 2006; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Ries et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2004, 2003).

We present average $d^{34}S_{CAS}$ 12.4‰ higher than equivalent samples reported in Ries et al. (2009) for the lower Omkyk member. A common concern during CAS extraction is the inadvertant oxidation of sulfide minerals through the use of oxidants (e.g. NaOCl), or inclusion of matrix bound contaminant sulfur derived from weathered pyrite (Marenco et al., 2008a). Disseminated sulfide minerals must have been present in the original rock in concentrations equivalent to or higher than those extracted. Given that measured $d^{34}S_{pyr}$ is similar to or even heavier than $d^{34}S_{CAS}$, analytical contamination from pyrite oxidation is unable to lower measured $d^{34}S_{CAS}$ by 12‰. The lower apparent CAS values in the Ries et al. (2009) study must therefore originate from the inclusion of the contaminant sulfur that we eliminated during the pre-leaching stage. This $^{32}S$ enriched contaminant sulfur (up to 16‰ lower than resultant CAS, Table 2), must derive from sources other than pyrite weathering (e.g. SAS). In cases where this sulfate is not effectively removed during pre-leaching, it would contribute to the lower measured CAS sulfur isotope composition.

Our average $d^{34}S_{CAS}$ is consistent with Ries et al. (2009) for the upper Omkyk and Hoogland members, but with overall lower standard deviations (Table 1). The scatter in their $d^{34}S_{CAS}$ may partly result from differing contributions from contaminant phases. There remains significant scatter in our data, however, which is common in CAS data (Bottrell and Newton, 2006; Kampschulte and Strauss, 2004; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Turchyn et al., 2009). SAS, a common contaminant in CAS studies, can accumulate on outer surfaces and microcracks within outcrops, particularly in arid regions such as southern Namibia, and this SAS may be removed by effective...
cleaning (Peng et al., 2014). However, if SAS is incorporated into secondary carbonate in micro-veins that are impossible to identify and exclude during the powdering process, the contaminant signal would not be removed by pre-leaching and would be included in the acid leachable sulfate fraction. This, along with variable early diagenetic alteration, may explain the remaining scatter in our $\delta^{34}$SCAS data.

5.3. ‘Superheavy’ pyrite

Several studies investigating the $\delta^{34}$S of various late Neoproterozoic sedimentary successions report pyrite enriched in $34S$ relative to the global average for seawater sulfate at that time, which is also $34S$-enriched relative to other times in the Proterozoic or Phanerozoic (Bottomley et al., 1992; Liu et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2008; Strauss et al., 1992). This ‘superheavy’ pyrite or Phanerozoic (Bottomley et al., 1992; Liu et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2008; Strauss et al., 1992). This ‘superheavy’ pyrite has also been observed a few times in the Phanerozoic and modern environments, but the $\delta^{34}$Spyr is only slightly elevated compared with $\delta^{34}$SCAS (Aller et al., 2010; Ferrini et al., 2010; Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1984). On the whole, the occurrence of ‘superheavy’ pyrite involves a small number of anomalously $34S$ enriched samples within a larger $\delta^{34}$Spyr data set, which in some cases are not reported alongside coeval $\delta^{34}$SCAS (e.g. Bottomley et al., 1992, $n = 1$; Shen et al., 2008, $n = 4$). In particular, Ries et al. (2009) report some extremely $34S$-enriched pyrite in the Hoogland member (9 samples >45.0‰, reaching 80.2‰), but we do not reproduce these in our more limited pyrite data set. Although our highest reported $\delta^{34}$SCAS is 45.4‰, Ries et al. (2009) report some extremely enriched $\delta^{34}$SCAS, up to 64.2‰, from the same part of the stratigraphy as the ‘superheavy’ pyrite.

An apparent ‘superheavy’ pyrite effect may result from normal marine $\delta^{34}$Spyr in the presence of $\delta^{34}$SCAS that is low compared with contemporaneous local or global sections as a result of contamination during CAS extraction. Our average $\delta^{34}$SCAS is 12.4‰, higher than equivalent samples reported in Ries et al. (2009) for the lower Omkyk member. Significantly, the lower Omkyk member is one section of the Nama Group where Ries et al. (2009) describe the phenomenon of ‘superheavy’ pyrite (highlighted by the grey box in Fig. 2). We have not sampled the exact same section as Ries et al. (2009), and so lateral variability in pyrite sulfur isotope composition or $\delta^{34}$SCAS across the basin remains a possible explanation (Hurtgen et al., 2006).

Pyrite $\delta^{34}$S remains higher than $\delta^{34}$SCAS for two samples in the lower Omkyk member and this could point to the presence of genuine ‘superheavy’ pyrite. Alternately, it could indicate diagenetic alteration of $\delta^{34}$SCAS to lower values, or the presence of small amounts of contaminant sulfur even after extensive cleaning. In general, our new CAS dataset suggests that ‘superheavy’ pyrite may not be as widespread in the Nama Group as previously thought (Ries et al., 2009), and that where it does occur, the offset between seawater sulfate and pyrite $\delta^{34}$S may be smaller and therefore easier to explain.

While some of the offset reported in Ries et al. (2009) could have arisen from artifacts during CAS extraction, there remains some extraordinarily high $\delta^{34}$Spyr in the Nama Group that needs to be addressed. ‘Superheavy’ pyrite has been attributed to decoupling of surface and bottom water sulfur reservoirs in a stratified ocean, where bottom waters produce enriched $34S$ via Rayleigh distillation, and surface waters record undistilled $\delta^{34}$SCAS (Liu et al., 2006). This model cannot explain observations in the Nama Group, because there is sedimentological evidence for storm driven mixing in parts of the basin, and iron speciation data suggests there are no sulfidic bottom waters (Ries et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2015). Ries et al. (2009) suggest that under low sulfate conditions, small sulfur isotope fractionations during sulfide oxidation could deplete $\delta^{34}$SW in $34S$ relative to $\delta^{34}$Spyr via Rayleigh-type distillation within pore waters. This interpretation requires minimal sulfur isotope fractionation during microbial sulfate reduction, which may be achieved through very low sulfate concentrations or high rates of sulfate reduction. If pore water sulfide is partially re-oxidised, aided by storm-driven reworking or bioturbation beneath aerobic bottom waters, the residual sulfide pool may be left heavy compared with seawater sulfate. Within the Zebra River section, previous work has identified a spectrum of fully anoxic (ferruginous), low oxygen (manganous) and well-oxygenated bottom waters (Tostevin et al., 2016; Wood et al., 2015). We note that our $\delta^{34}$SCAS and $\delta^{34}$Spyr show no clear correspondence to inferred local bottom water redox conditions.

Pyrite generally forms within the sediments and so reflects pore water chemistry, whereas carbonate forms close to the sediment-water interface in contact with the marine sulfate reservoir (Gomes and Hurtgen, 2013). $\delta^{34}$SCAS therefore forms in different environments to $\delta^{34}$Spyr, decoupling $34$SW-pyr from $34$Senv, $\delta^{34}$Spyr may evolve towards parent sulfate $\delta^{34}$S in closed systems, lowering the apparent $\Delta^{34}$SW-pyr. The presence of superheavy pyrite may indicate shallow, high energy depositional environments rather than low concentrations of seawater sulfate (Fike et al., 2015). Regardless of the exact mechanism, simple models struggle to explain extremely high $\delta^{34}$Spyr (50‰), wide ranges in $\delta^{34}$Spyr, and the absence of complementary low $\delta^{34}$S$_{34}$SAS, and so the genesis of ‘superheavy’ pyrite remains enigmatic (Aller et al., 2010; Ferrini et al., 2010; Fike et al., 2015).

5.4. The terminal Ediacaran sulfur cycle

Phanerozoic ocean chemistry is dominated by long-term cycles of alternate calcium-rich and magnesium-sulfate-rich seawater (Hardie, 2003, 1996). It is unclear if these trends existed in the Precambrian, but if so, the Ediacaran is projected to be a sulfate-rich ‘aragonite’ sea (Hardie, 2003). The aragonite and high-Mg calcite original mineralogy of the earliest skeletal metazoans supports this assumption, at least for the final 10 Myrs of the Ediacaran, as well as fluid inclusion data (Brennan et al., 2004; Zhuravlev and Wood, 2008). Superimposed on these potential cyclic trends in the major ion chemistry of the ocean is the hypothesized Neoproterozoic Oxygenation Event, during which higher atmospheric O$_2$ together with higher rates of physical erosion may have increased the sulfate delivery flux, while sinks for reduced sulfur within the ocean did not rise apace. Constraining the concentration of sulfate in the ocean in the past, however, is challenging and controversial (Algeo et al., 2015; Fike et al., 2006; Kah et al., 2004; Loyd et al., 2012).

There are several lines of evidence from previous studies suggesting that marine sulfate concentrations at this time were relatively high. For example, there is evidence that lagoonal waters inside rimmed ocean margins were sulfidic, but not sulfate-limited in South China (Li et al., 2010; Och et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2012). $\delta^{34}$S in some barite deposits appears to be invariant, supporting higher marine sulfate reservoirs (Moles et al., 2015), but the origin of this barite is not demonstrably marine. Limited data from fluid inclusions in evaporite minerals are consistent with high marine sulfate concentrations, although quantifying sulfate concentrations from fluid inclusions involves large errors and an assumption about the calcium concentration, while the data originate from evaporites that formed in restricted basins (Brennan et al., 2004; Kovalyevych et al., 2006). Evaporite deposits are known worldwide from the Ediacaran, including deposits in Oman, India, Russia and Pakistan (Houghton, 1980; Kovalyevych et al., 2006; Mattes and Morris, 1990; Strauss et al., 2001). Although the distribution of evaporites through time is predominantly driven by tectonics, the presence of anhydrite in these deposits, where demonstrably marine, requires relatively high sulfate concentra-
tions (several mM) within the Ediacaran-Cambrian transition interval. A rise in $\Delta^{34}\text{SSW-pyr}$ during the Neoproterozoic was traditionally interpreted to record a shift towards sulfate reduction combined with sulfur disproportionation in a relatively oxidising environment (Canfield, 1998; Fike et al., 2006). These multiple lines of evidence for high marine sulfate concentrations are contradicted by recent data showing low $\Delta^{34}\text{SSW-pyr}$ and rapid rates of change in sulfur isotope composition of proxy minerals, which instead suggest that sulfate concentrations remained low throughout the Neoproterozoic (Algeo et al., 2015; Loyd et al., 2012; Ries et al., 2009). However, reconstructing sulfate concentrations from trends in $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ requires multiple assumptions, and diagenetic alteration of $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{CAS}}$ can result in gross underestimates of the marine sulfate reservoir.

5.4.1. Pyrite formation

Sulfur isotopic fractionation during microbial sulfate reduction ($^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$) is largely associated with intracellular enzymes, but sulfur isotope fractionation may also accompany sulfate transport across the membrane (Rees, 1973). $^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$ is a function of the cell specific sulfate reduction rate, which is in itself dependent on sulfate concentration, along with other environmental parameters (Canfield, 2001b; Habicht et al., 2005, 2002; Habicht and Canfield, 2001; Leavitt et al., 2013; Sim et al., 2011a). More recent work has suggested that large sulfur isotope fractionation can be found with sulfate concentrations as low as 30 $\mu$M, confounding the simple relationship between the magnitude of fractionation and the size of the marine sulfate reservoir (Canfield et al., 2010; Crowe et al., 2014; Knossow et al., 2015; Weber et al., 2016). Physiological factors also are important in determining the expressed sulfur isotope fractionation in any given microbial community (Bradley et al., 2015). Larger $^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$ may be expressed in mixed communities in natural environments, where sulfate undergoes disproportionation and complex recycling, although large sulfur isotope fractionations have also been recorded during sulfate reduction in pure culture (Sim et al., 2011a). The largest sulfur isotope fractionations would be expected close to the chemocline, where oxidation and disproportionation would (in theory) be most prevalent, but the fractionation has been observed to decrease at redox interfaces in modern environments (Canfield and Teske, 1996; Fike et al., 2009, 2008; Wilbanks et al., 2014), so the importance of disproportionation in natural environments is unclear. In addition, temperature and the carbon source can affect $^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$ although deconvoluting whether they impact $^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$ or whether they just impact the overall rate of microbial sulfate reduction is problematic (Canfield, 2001a; Detmers et al., 2001; Sim et al., 2011a, b).

The broad rise in $\Delta^{34}\text{SSW-pyr}$ through the Neoproterozoic could record a switch towards oxidation and disproportionation, or a rise in marine sulfate concentrations, both of which would reflect marine oxygenation, but controls on $\Delta^{34}\text{SSW-pyr}$ are complex and other changes in the marine environment and biosphere could also have impacted $\Delta^{34}\text{SSW-pyr}$ (Canfield, 1998; Fike et al., 2006). The expansion of eukaryotes around 800 Ma (Knoll, 2014), and the diversification of animal life 580–520 Ma, and more aerobic water column conditions would have relegated more complex organic carbon molecules to sediments (those which would have escaped oxic respiration), which may have slowed sulfate reduction rates, increasing $^{34}\text{S}_{\text{mic}}$ or whether they just impact the overall rate of microbial sulfate reduction is problematic (Canfield, 2001a; Detmers et al., 2001; Sim et al., 2011a, b).

5.4.2. The marine sulfate reservoir

At high marine sulfate concentrations, $\delta^{34}\text{SS}$ and sulfate concentrations should be globally homogeneous across long timescales (>1 Ma), as high concentrations imply large residence times. Rapid temporal changes in $\delta^{34}\text{SS}$ are more likely when sulfate concentrations are lower, as there is more possibility for the $\delta^{34}\text{SS}$ of marine sulfate to be impacted by local processes like river fluxes or to show inter-basin heterogeneity. Using the present day flux of sulfur into and out of the ocean ($3 \times 10^{12}$ mol yr$^{-1}$), the calculated sulfate residence time drops below ~100,000 years when sulfate concentrations are less than 0.2 mM, and below ~10,000 years when sulfate concentrations are less than 0.02 mM. A residence time of 10,000 years may have been enough to permit inter-basin heterogeneity depending on the mixing time of the various ocean basins in the late Ediacaran Period. However, much about this calculation is unconstrained; for example, the rate of input and output fluxes in the Ediacaran may have
been dramatically different from today, with substantially lower oxidative weathering fluxes and higher pyrite burial fluxes.

Rates of change in $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$ are commonly used to estimate the size of the marine sulfate reservoir, assuming that faster rates of change represent a smaller marine sulfate reservoir that can be more easily perturbed over short timescales (Algeo et al., 2015; Kah et al., 2004; Loyd et al., 2012). However, this approach is limited by the inability of most models to account for variations in the $\delta^{34}S$ of the input or output fluxes. In these models, the average global isotope offset between sulfate and buried pyrite is often estimated from the recorded $\Delta^{34}S_{SW-pyr}$ in a given section, but $\Delta^{34}S_{SW-pyr}$ varies both temporally and spatially. Further, early diagenesis may alter $\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ dramatically away from $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$, as would inclusion of contaminant sulfate in $\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ analysis. Inclusion of non-meaningful sample-to-sample fluctuations in rate models would lead to drastic underestimates of the size of the seawater sulfate reservoir. A more powerful approach may be to compare $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$ records between marine basins, as $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$ should be globally homogeneous when sulfate concentrations are high. It is therefore important to determine if consistent sulfur isotope records are recorded in geographically separated basins.

The depositional age of the lower Nama Group is well constrained from U-Pb dates from ash beds within the Hoogland Member (547.3 ± 0.3 Ma – Grotzinger et al., 1995; Schmitz, 2012). A rise in $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$ is recorded in limited and poorly age-constrained data from evaporites in Siberia (Claypool et al., 1980), Iran (Houghton, 1980), India (Strauss et al., 2001) and Australia (Solomon et al., 1971). These data converge on $\delta^{34}S$ for seawater sulfate of 30 to 35‰ for the terminal Ediacaran, consistent with data presented here. The Ara Group in the Huqf Supergroup, Oman, can be correlated more precisely using carbon isotope chemostratigraphy and an U-Pb zircon date in the A0 member (546.7 ± 0.3 Ma; Bowring et al., 2007; Fike et al., 2006; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Mattes and Morris, 1990; Morris et al., 1990; Wu et al., 2015; Fig. 4). The depositional age of the Dengying Formation, South China, is less well constrained, but should be approximately contemporaneous with the Nama Group, based on the presence of Cloudina (globally distributed for ~10 Myr), carbon isotope chemostratigraphy, detrital zircon ages (548 ± 8 Ma; Cui et al., 2016; Fig. 4) and ID-TIMS U-Pb zircon ages for volcaniclastic ash beds (<551 ± 0.7 Ma; Condon et al., 2015).

$\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ is high in the Kuibis Subgroup and shows a general rising trend from an average of 30‰ at the base towards an average of 38‰ at the top (Fig. 2). In Oman, a rapid positive $\delta^{34}S_{SW}$ excursion begins ~547 Ma, rising from 30 to 42‰ (Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Wu et al., 2015), dubbed the Ara anomaly. Older parts of the section (the Buah Formation, >547 Ma), however, record lower $\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ (20-25‰) compared with the Kuibis Subgroup (Fike et al., 2006). In the Dengying Formation, Zhang et al. (2004) report $\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ between 20-30‰, in contrast to Y. Chen et al. (2015), who report higher $\delta^{34}S_{CAS}$ between 30 and 40‰. While these two studies are based on different geographic sections that may record...
independent δ34S, in the Zhang et al. (2004) study, dolostone powders were not cleaned prior to acid dissolution, and we suggest that the reported δ34SCAS may have been affected by inclusion of non-CAS sulfur. Therefore, the Dengying Formation records elevated δ34S SW consistent with the Nama Group (Y. Chen et al., 2015). This is further supported by high resolution δ34SCAS from the Gaojiashan member, in the lower half of the Dengying Formation (32–46‰, Cui et al., 2016, Fig. 4).

Carbon isotope chemostratigraphy provides a potentially independent correlation framework to compare sulfur isotope records. A transition from negative to positive δ13C is recorded in the Buah Formation in Oman, apparently correlated with δ13C in the Nama Group (see TP2 and TP3 on Fig. 4). The Zebra River section reaches −2‰ at the base, but δ13C as low as −7.4‰ are recorded in the directly underlying member in older parts of the Nama Group (e.g. Brak, see Wood et al., 2015). Using this correlation framework, the sulfur isotope records among the various published sections are clearly inconsistent. If the Nama Group and the Huqf Supergroup both represent open marine conditions, with consistent δ13C, then the residence time of sulfate must have been very low, permitting spatial variability in δ34S SW between basins. One way to reduce the residence time of sulfate is for the sulfate reservoir to have been small, but sulfate concentrations are hypothesized to be high in the Huqf Supergroup, to explain the large recorded Δ34S SW-pyr and fluid inclusion data from evaporites (Brennan et al., 2004; Fike et al., 2006). The formation of gypsum evaporite deposits is itself evidence for marine sulfate concentrations of at least several mM in the upper Ara Group. Alternately, the Huqf Supergroup may have been partially or fully isolated from the global ocean, with an independent δ34S SW, that reflects local inputs or the unidirectional influx of seawater (Wu et al., 2015). However, there is convincing sedimentological evidence that the Ara evaporites are marine in nature (Schroder et al., 2004; Schroder et al., 2005, 2003), and a sustained connection with the global ocean may be required to explain the large volume of evaporite deposition.

Considering the radiometric age constraints, the δ34SCAS records from Oman, China and Namibia could potentially be consistent. To reconcile the δ34SCAS records between the three sections requires that the lower Nama Group and the Dengying Formation are contemporaneous with the A0 member in the Ara Group (deposited between TP1 and TP 2 on Fig. 4). While the more negative δ13C recorded in parts of the Nama Group records the recovery from Shuram carbon isotope excursion, the base of the section is diachronous and the negative δ13C at the base of Zebra River section may only record the post-Shuram return to more stable conditions (TP1 and TP2 on Fig. 4). It is possible that the sulfur isotope records are consistent between Namibia and Oman, and this supports a rapid and global transition to high δ34S following the termination of the Shuram carbon isotope excursion, as has been reported elsewhere (Fike and Grotzinger, 2008). We suggest that δ34S SW climbed from −20‰, close to modern marine sulfate δ34S, to much higher δ34S (~40–45‰ recorded in the Dengying Formation, the Ara Group and the Nama Group) some time before 547 Ma (Y. Chen et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2016; Fike et al., 2006; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008; Wu et al., 2015).

This rise in δ34SCAS occurred following the termination of the Shuram carbon isotope excursion, and the marine sulfate δ34S in the terminal Ediacaran is exceptionally high compared with almost all other periods in Earth history (Claypool et al., 1980). One mechanism to generate high values for δ34SCAS is an increase in Δ34S SW-pyr, burying more δ35S enriched pyrite, leaving more δ34S behind in the ocean. Δ34S SW-pyr varies widely among marine basins, but existing data do not support an increase in the average global Δ34S SW-pyr in the terminal Ediacaran; indeed most measured pyrite is isotopically higher than at other points in time, including in this study (Cui et al., 2016; Fike and Grotzinger, 2008, Fig. 4). Elevated δ34SCAS may instead have been driven by an increase in the pyrite burial flux, fpy. Mechanisms to increase fpy include high sedimentation rates, widespread water column anoxia, high delivery of iron or high rates of productivity. Increased weathering rates may have delivered nutrients, driving productivity and simultaneously promoting water column anoxia (Cui et al., 2016). This weathering flux may additionally have delivered alkalinity and cations that would have increased carbonate deposition, consistent with the very high carbonate accumulation rates recorded for the Nama Group (50–300 mMyr−1). Fike and Grotzinger (2008) suggest that changes in the δ34S and flux of pyrite burial alone cannot explain such elevated δ34S SW, without the additional presence of elevated δ34S NW (>12‰). One mechanism to elevate δ34S NW is the preferential weathering of sulfates, in the form of evaporite deposits, over sulfide minerals (Wu et al., 2015). We speculate that high sulfate delivery rates drove some anoxic basins transiently sulfidic, consistent with local sulfidic conditions reported for the terminal Ediacaran from China (Och et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2012). This sulfide could have titrated iron from the ocean, resulting in a pulse of pyrite burial, followed by an increase in oxidising power in the oceans.

6. Conclusions

We present 51 new δ34SCAS compositions from the lower Nama Group (~550–<547 Ma), Namibia. Our data show higher δ34SCAS than previous studies, which we attribute to improved cleaning procedures and reduced contributions from contaminant sulfur. We suggest that the phenomenon of ‘superheavy’ pyrite, previously reported from these sections, may in part be an artifact of contamination due to inadequate cleaning procedures, and that true δ34S SW is close to coeval δ34S pyr. The Nama Group records elevated δ34S SW and shows a climbing trend from 30‰ to 38‰. This rise in δ34S SW appears to be recorded globally, suggesting a rapid transition in the sulfur cycle, possibly driven by changing redox conditions and an increase in the pyrite burial flux. The stark contrast in Δ34S SW-pyr recorded in different sections is consistent with heterogeneous redox or depositional conditions among Ediacaran basins.
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